Appendix 11B

Acoustic Modelling Details

# [THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK].



# SSE Tarbert Next Generation Power Station

Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) Volume II Appendix 11B: Acoustic Modelling Details

SSE Generation Ireland Ltd.

November 2023

Delivering a better world

SSE Tarbert Next Generation Power Station Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) Volume II Appendix 11B

#### Prepared for:

SSE Generation Ireland Limited

Prepared by: AECOM Ireland Limited 4th Floor Adelphi Plaza Georges Street Upper Dun Laoghaire Co. Dublin A96 T927 Ireland

T: +353 1 238 3100

aecom.com

© 2023 AECOM Ireland Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Ireland Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

# **Table of Contents**

Apper	ndix 11	B: Acoustic Modelling Details	1
	B.1	Site Layout	1
	B.2	Acoustic Modelling Input Data	1
	Data S	Sources	1
	Sound	d Source Sound Power Levels	1
	B.3	Prediction Methodology	3
	B.4	Acoustic Calculation Settings	5
	B.5	Uncertainty in Modelling	5

## **Tables**

Table 1: Operational Plant Dimensions and Sound Levels

Table 2: Atmospheric Attenuation (dB/km) at 10° C and 70% Relative Humidity

# **Appendix 11B: Acoustic Modelling Details**

### **B.1 Site Layout**

The Site Layout is based on Drawing Number 60695232-TBT-DR-001, as presented in EIAR Volume II Figures, accompanying Chapter 5 ('Description of Proposed Development). However, EIAR Volume III Figure 11.1 provides a simplified layout labelling the main sound sources.

### B.2 Acoustic Modelling Input Data

#### **Data Sources**

Data sources used for construction sound modelling were reviewed and approved by the Applicant and have been based on a representative equipment lists used for similar scale power station developments in Ireland.

Data sources used for operational sound modelling were provided by the Applicant and have been based on a representative equipment created by General Electric (GE) and Siemens and provided to the Applicant. The Applicant has also confirmed the unit and grid transformer data published as part of a planning application for similar development (ESB Aghada 299MW OCGT, County Cork, File 235140) is also representative of that for the Proposed Development and has been used in the assessment, The Applicant confirmed that selective catalytic reduction (SCR) would not exceed the 85dBA at 1m, and this has been used to determine a maximum sound power level.

#### **Sound Source Sound Power Levels**

#### **Construction Phase**

Construction noise sound sources were modelled using the following approaches:

- An area source 3m high covering the main power plant construction area as shown in EIAR Volume III – Figure 11.1 with a total sound power level assigned to represent either the peak or typical month of the construction programme.
- As a point source, 20m high representing impact piling, modelled at locations 1 and 2 as shown in EIAR Volume III – Figure 11.1 with representative sound power levels and spectrum from BS 5228-1 (2009+A1-2014) Table C.3.1.
- As a line source from the main access gate to the main power plant construction area, with sound power level and spectrum appropriate for an HDV and LDV vehicle and an associated on-time correction based on the number of average number of vehicles per hour anticipated over a day.

Sound propagation from the construction activity has been undertaken using the BS 5228 methodology as implemented in CadnaA 2022 MR2. Construction levels have also been checked using ISO 9613-2 calculation method and result in lower predicted levels at receptors, demonstrating that using the BS 5228-1 sound propagation method is also the more conservative approach. A table of construction plant and associated on-time and broadband A-weighted sound power levels is provided in the EIAR Volume I Chapter 11, along with LGV and HGV spectra.

#### **Operational Phase**

Operational sound sources were modelled using two approaches:

- As a point source with an associated sound power level and elevation above ground.
- As a box made up of four noise emitting walls and a roof according to the stated dimensions mentioned in the following section. The reported sound power level was distributed evenly over the total surface area in the absence of any detailed information about any bias in the directional characteristics of the sound emissions. Note the dimensions are only representative for modelling purposes.
- The octave frequency spectrums for each sound source are provided in EIAR Volume I Chapter 11 under *Power Plant Proposed Equipment*

	Item	ID	Dimensions			Supplied	Unmiti	gated	Mitigated Option A	
			L	W	н	L <sub>wA</sub>	L <sub>wA</sub>	L <sub>wA</sub> "	LwA	L <sub>wA</sub> "
١٢	Air Inlet - Filter Face	S3	S3			98		-	93.6	-
Γ - A nlet	Air Inlet - Ducting	S3	N/A	V/A 15m 11.5		92	103.8			
'о <sup>–</sup>	Air Inlet - Plenum	S3				102				
5	Internal - Turbine Compartment	S1				104		64.5	101.4	62.7
age	Internal - Lube Oil / Gas Module	S1				102				
acka	Internal - GT Enclosure Fan Casing + Outlet Exhaust (x2)	S1				106				
ne P	Internal - Exhaust Enclosure Fan Casing + Outlet (x2)	S1	40	50	30	104	103.2			
Irbii	Internal - Generator Enclosure	S1				102				
Gas Tı	Internal – GT Aux Transformer (- 15dB during sinusoidal load)	S1				104				
	Internal – BoP Aux Transformer (-15dB during sinusoidal load)	S1				95				
st	Exhaust duct & Diverter damper	S2				103	104	72.2	104	72.2
⊤ haus	GT Exhaust Diffuser Compartment	S2	14	6.5	35	99				
ώŵ	Stack Top S4 Point Source		109	109	-	109	-			
of	Generator Fin Fan Cooler	S6	30	6.5	5.6	112	112	84.2	112	84.2
Balance o Plant	Lube Oil Fin Fan Cooler	S7	9	8	5.1	112	112	88.1	112	88.1
	Grid Transformer	S8	10.1	5.1	4.5	109	101	78.3	101	78.3
	Unit Transformer	S8	10.1	5.1	4.5	109	85	62.3	85	62.3
Select (SCR)	Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)*2		Point Source		96	96	-	96	-	

#### Table 1: Operational Plant Dimensions and Sound Levels

### **B.3** Prediction Methodology

Modelling of sound levels from the development have been undertaken using CadnaA 2022 MR2 acoustic modelling software. This software implements the sound propagation calculation methodology set out in the ISO 9613-2:1996. The propagation model described in this standard provides for the prediction of sound pressure levels based on either short-term downwind (i.e., worst case) conditions or long-term overall averages. The highest sound levels at NSRs occur under down wind conditions (wind blowing 1 to 5m/s from the Site towards the nearby receptors), and these have been adopted within the model. When the wind is blowing in the opposite direction, sound levels may be significantly lower than those predicted. The ISO propagation model calculates the predicted sound pressure level by taking the source sound power level for each source and subtracting a number of attenuation factors according to the following:

Predicted Sound Level = 
$$L_{WA} + D - A_{geo} - A_{atm} - A_{gr} - A_{bar} - A_{misc}$$

These factors are discussed in detail in the following paragraphs.

The Sound Power Level (LWA) defines the total acoustic power radiated by a noise source expressed in decibels (dB re 1 pW).

The directivity factor (D) allows for an adjustment to be made where the sound radiated in the direction of interest is higher than that for which the sound power level is specified. No directivity factor is considered within this assessment.

The geometrical divergence (A<sub>geo</sub>) accounts for spherical spreading of the sound from the source within free-field conditions. Different sources at the Installation have been modelled to take account of their geometry, as area, line or point sources. The divergence factor is calculated from the distance from the source to the receiver, and the relationship between the attenuation provided and distance is dependent on the type of sound source assumed.

The atmospheric absorption factor ( $A_{atm}$ ) considers the attenuation offered by the atmosphere as a result of the conversion of sound to heat. The degree of attenuation is dependent on the relative humidity and temperature of the air through which the sound is travelling and is frequency dependent. Increasing attenuation occurs towards the higher frequencies of sound.

Modelling parameters have assumed an ambient temperature of 10 °C and 70% relative humidity which are found to result in worst case noise propagation. The corresponding atmospheric attenuation factors are summarised in Table 2.

#### Table 2: Atmospheric Attenuation (dB/km) at 10° C and 70% Relative Humidity

Octave Band Centre Frequency / Hz	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
Atmospheric Absorption Coefficient dB / km	0.122	0.411	1.04	1.93	3.68	9.66	32.8	117

The ground effect (A<sub>gr</sub>) is the result of sound reflected by the ground interfering with the sound propagating directly from source to receiver, and the interaction of the sound with porous and absorptive ground cover. The prediction of ground effects depends on the source height, receiver height, propagation height between the source and receiver and the ground conditions.

The ground conditions are described according to a variable defined as 'G', which varies between 0 for 'hard' ground (includes paving, water, ice, concrete and any locations with low porosity) and 1 for 'soft' ground (includes ground covered by grass, trees or other vegetation).

The effect of any barrier or topographical obstruction (A<sub>bar</sub>) between the sound source and the receiver position is that sound will be reduced according to the relative heights of the source, receiver and barrier and the frequency spectrum of the sound.

## **B.4** Acoustic Calculation Settings

Acoustic modelling has been undertaken using the following model settings:

- ISO 9613-2 for construction and operational phases
- Maximum search radius of 5000m
- Maximum number of reflections: 2
- Free field noise level predictions carried out at 1.5m and 4m above ground to represent ground and first floor levels respectively at sensitive receptors for day and night-time assessment.
- Side diffraction enabled.
- Ground absorption has been set as:
  - A ground absorption value of G=1.0 (representing soft ground) has been assigned to the surrounding agricultural areas.
  - Areas of hard standing set to G=0 (representing hard ground) has been assigned across the Proposed Development Area as appropriate.

### **B.5 Uncertainty in Modelling**

It should be noted than any predictions of sound levels have an associated degree of uncertainty. Whilst best endeavours have been made to minimise that uncertainty in this work, it is unavoidable that some remains. In particular, the following sources of uncertainty have been noted:

- Sound source levels have been based on client's instruction regarding sound pressure level at 1m or sound power levels from the plant and typical frequency spectrum data obtained from previous measurements by AECOM and literature references. It is possible that depending on the plant to be used, source spectrum may differ.
- Predictions of sound pressure levels according to ISO 9613 assume moderate downwind propagation, and hence could be considered as a worst-case calculation. However, the standard also indicates an estimated accuracy of ±3 dB LA in predicted levels. Noise information from suppliers is based on running on diesel and not Hydrotreated Vegetable Oil (HVO). Effect on noise of the GT based on HVO operation is not fully understood.

SSE Tarbert Next Generation Power Station Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) Volume II Appendix 11B

